

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/608,434	06/30/2000	Il Gun Kwon	0465-0715P	9740
2292	7590 07/08/2003	0)0		•
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			KE, PENG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2174	~
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	. 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		Application No.	plicant(s)			
		09/608,434	KWON ET AL.			
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peng Ke	2174			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	<u>pril 2003</u>				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		· .			
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	·			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
, 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment			•			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 4/21/03. This action is final.

2. Claims 1-52 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 6, 18, 20, 25-28, 33, 44, 45, and 50-52 are independent claims. In the Amendment, filed on 4/21/03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-15, 17-28, 30-41, and 43-52 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fado et al. (US 5,995,933) in view of Do (US 6,417,868).

As per independent claim 1, Fado et al. teaches a method of assisting a user to make a connection between a main device and at least one peripheral device, comprising:

displaying a guide illustration on a display screen in response to a user input, the illustration showing how to connect the main device and the at least one peripheral device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the guide wherein the at least one peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Do teaches connecting the main device with a peripheral device wherein the peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal (col 5, lines 18-45). It would have been

Art Unit: 2174

Tit Oint. 2171

obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Do's teaching with Fado et al.'s method in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a VCR and a TV.

As per claim 3, which is dependent on claim 1, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 1. Fado et al. futher teaches the method wherein the guide illustration shows at least one terminal plate of the main device, at least one terminal plate of the at least one peripheral peripheral device and at least one connection between the terminal plate of the main device and the terminal plate of at least one peripheral device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

As per claim 4, which is dependent on claim 1, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 1. Fado et al. further teaches wherein the guide illustration shows at least one terminal plate of the main device, at least one terminal plate of more than one of the at least one peripheral device, and at least one connection between the terminal plate of the main device and the terminal plate of at least one peripheral device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

As per claim 5, which is dependent on claim 1, Fado et al. in view of Do teaches the method of claim 1. Fado et al. further teaches wherein the guide illustration shows at least one terminal plate of the main device, at least one terminal plate of more than one of the at least one peripheral device, and a connection between one of the terminal plates of the main device and the terminal plate of the at least one peripheral device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

As per independent claim 6, Fado et al. teaches a method of assisting a user to make a connection between a main device and at least one peripheral device, comprising:

displaying an illustration on a display screen in response to user input, the illustration showing at least one connecting portion of a main device, a connecting portion of the at least

one peripheral device, and a connection between the at least one peripheral device and the main device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the method wherein the at least one peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Do teaches connecting the main device with a peripheral device wherein peripheral device generate an audio signal and a video signal (col 5, lines 18-45). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Do's teaching with Fado et al.'s method in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a VCR and a TV.

As per claim 7, which is dependent on claim 6, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 6. Fado et al. further teaches the method wherein the illustration includes an animation to show the connection between the main device and the at least one peripheral device (col 8, lines 56-65).

As per claim 8, which is dependent on claim 6, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 6. Fado et al. further teaches wherein the illustration shows the connection between the at least one peripheral device and the main device in a highlighted form (col 12, lines 61-68, col 13, lines 1-13, col 14, lines 13-29).

As per claim 9, which is dependent on claim 8, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 8. Fado et al. further teaches wherein the highlighted form is a color which differs from a remainder of the illustration (col 14, lines 13-29).

As per claim 10, which is dependent on claim 8, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 6. Fado et al. further teaches wherein the connecting portion of the main device is a connecting portion on an outside surface of the main device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 11, which is dependent on claim 6, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 6. Fado et al. further teaches wherein the displaying step displays the illustration on the display screen of the main device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264, col 2, lines 63-65).

As per claim 12, which is dependent on claim 6, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 6. Fado et al. further teaches wherein the illustration shows a plurality of connecting portions of the main device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264, Fig 40, item 390).

As per claim 13, which is dependent on claim 6, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 6. Fado et al. further teaches wherein the illustration shows the connecting portion of the at least one peripheral device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

As per claim 14, which is dependent on claim 6, Fado et al. teaches the method of claim 6, further comprising: displaying a menu having a plurality of menu items, each menu item being associated with the at least one peripheral device; and (Fig 5, items 124, 126, 128, 130, and 132);

receiving a user input on a selected menu item; (Fig 5, item 124); and,

wherein the displaying step displays an illustration associated with the selected menu item (Fig 21, items 262 and 264, Fig 23, item 284).

As per claim 15, which is dependent on claim 14, Fado et al. teaches the method of claim 14, wherein the displaying a menu step displays each menu item as an icon, each icon representing one or combination of peripheral devices (Fig 5, item 124, Fig 21, items 262 and 264, Fig 23, item 284).

As per claim 17, which is dependent on claim 14, Fado et al. teaches the method of claim 14, wherein the receiving step receives signals from an input device providing instructions on

Art Unit: 2174

moving a cursor displayed on the display screen onto one of the menu items (Fig 5, items 124, 126, 128, 130, and 132).

As per independent claim 18, Fado et al. teaches a method of assisting a user to make at least one connection between a main device and a peripheral device, comprising: displaying an illustration on a display screen in response to user input, the illustration showing at least one connecting portion of a main device and a connection to the main device for a particular peripheral device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the method wherein the at least one peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Do teaches connecting the main device with a peripheral device wherein the peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal (col 5, lines 18-45). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Do's teaching with Fado et al.'s method in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a VCR and a TV.

As per claim 19, which is dependent on claim 18, it is of the same scope as claim 12 (see rejection above).

As per independent claim 20, Fado et al. teaches a method of assisting a user to make a connection between a main device and at least one peripheral device, comprising:

displaying an illustration on a display screen in response to a first user input, the illustration showing at least one connecting portion of the main device and a connecting portion of the at least one peripheral device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the method wherein the at least one peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Art Unit: 2174

Do teaches connecting the main device with a peripheral device wherein the peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal (col 5, lines 18-45). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Do's teaching with Fado et al.'s method in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a VCR and a TV.

As per claim 21, which is dependent on claim 20, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 20. Fado et al. further teaches the method comprising: adding a connection illustration to the displayed illustration in response to a second user input, the connection illustration showing a connection between the connecting portion of the at least one peripheral device and the connecting portion of the main device (col 8, lines 30-55). The examiner is inferring to the fact that a user can select a headset or a battery adapter, and depends on his/her selections the program would provide the user with different instructions.

As per claim 22, which is dependent on claim 20, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 20. Fado et al. further teaches the method comprising: displaying a second illustration on the display screen in response to a second user input, the second illustration showing the connecting portion of the main device, the connecting portion of the at least one peripheral device and a connection between the connecting portion of the at least one peripheral device and the connecting portion of the main device (Fig 7, item 142).

As per claim 23, which is dependent on claim 20, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 20. Fado et al. further teaches the method wherein the displaying step displays each connecting portion of the main device (Fig 21, item 266, Fig 23, item 286).

As per claim 24, which is dependent on claim 20, Fado et al. teaches the method of claim 20, wherein the displaying step displays each connecting portion of the main device and the connecting portion of more than one peripheral device (Fig 22, item 272).

As per independent claim 25, Fado et al. teaches a method of assisting a user to make a connection between a main device and at least one peripheral device, comprising:

displaying an illustration on a display screen in response to a user input, the illustration visually demonstrating a connection to make between a connection portion of the at least one peripheral device and at least one connecting portion of a main device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the method wherein the at least one peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Do teaches connecting the main device with a peripheral device wherein the peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal (col 5, lines 18-45). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Do's teaching with Fado et al.'s method in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a VCR and a TV.

As per independent claim 26, Fado et al. teaches a method of assisting a user to make a connection between a main device and at least one peripheral device, comprising:

displaying an illustration directing a user on a connection to make between a connecting portion of the at least one peripheral device and at least one connecting portion of a main device on a display screen in response to user input (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the method wherein the at least one peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Art Unit: 2174

Do teaches connecting the main device with a peripheral device wherein the peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal (col 5, lines 18-45). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Do's teaching with Fado et al.'s method in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a VCR and a TV.

As per independent claim 27, Fado et al. teaches a method of assisting a user to make a connection between a main device and a peripheral device, comprising: displaying an illustration guiding a user on a connection to make between a connecting portion of at least one peripheral device and at least one connecting portion of a main device on a display screen in response to user input (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

As per independent claim 28, Fado et al. teaches an apparatus for assisting a user to make a connection between a main device and at least one peripheral device, comprising:

a display screen; a display controller displaying a guide illustration on said display screen in response to user input, the guide illustration showing how to connect the main device and at least one peripheral device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the method wherein the at least one peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Do teaches connecting the main device with a peripheral device wherein the peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal (col 5, lines 18-45). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Do's teaching with Fado et al.'s method in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a VCR and a TV.

As per claim 30, which is dependent on claim 28, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the apparatus of claim 28. Fado further teaches the apparatus wherein the peripheral device is one of

a video cassette recorder, a digital versatile disk drive, a broadcast antenna, a satellite receiver. a cable box, a disk drive, speakers, a mouse, and a printer (Fig 21, items 262 and 264). The examiner is inferring a headphone as a set of speakers.

As per claim 31, which is dependent on claim 28, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the apparatus of claim 28. Fado further teaches the apparatus comprising: a memory storing a plurality of guide illustrations, each guide illustration corresponding to one or a combination of peripheral devices (Fig 48, item 5).

As per claim 32, which is dependent on claim 28, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the apparatus of claim 28. Fado further teaches the apparatus wherein the display controller is a display controller of the main device (Fig 48, items 17 and 19).

As per independent claim 33, Fado et al teaches an apparatus for assisting a user to make a connection between a main device and at least one peripheral device, comprising:

a display screen; and

a display controller displaying an illustration on said display screen in response to user input, the illustration showing at least one connecting portion of the main device, a connecting portion of the at least one peripheral device, and a connection between the at least one peripheral device and the main device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the method wherein the at least one peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Do teaches connecting the main device with a peripheral device wherein the peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal (col 5, lines 18-45). It would have been

obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Do's teaching with Fado et al.'s method in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a VCR and a TV.

As per claim 34, which is dependent on claim 33, it is of the same scope as claim 7 (see rejection above).

As per claim 35, which is dependent on claim 33, it is of the same scope as claim 8 (see rejection above).

As per claim 36, which is dependent on claim 35, it is of the same scope as claim 9 (see rejection above).

As per claim 37, which is dependent on claim 33, it is of the same scope as claim 31 (see rejection above).

As per claim 38, which is dependent on claim 33, it is of the same scope as claim 12 (see rejection above).

As per claim 39, which is dependent on claim 33, it is of the same scope as claim 13 (see rejection above).

As per claim 40, which is dependent on claim 33, it is of the same scope as claim 14 (see rejection above).

As per claim 41, which is dependent on claim 40, it is of the same scope as claim 15 (see rejection above).

As per claim 43, which is dependent on claim 40, it is of the same scope as claim 16 (see rejection above).

As per independent claim 44, Fado et al. teaches an apparatus for assisting a user to make a connection to a main device, comprising:

Art Unit: 2174

display screen; and

a display controller displaying an illustration on said display screen in response to user input, the illustration showing at least one connecting portion of the main device and a connection to the main device for a particular peripheral device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the method wherein the at least one peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Do teaches connecting the main device with a peripheral device wherein the peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal (col 5, lines 18-45). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Do's teaching with Fado et al.'s method in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a VCR and a TV.

As per independent claim 45, Fado et al. teaches an apparatus for assisting a user to make a connection between a main device and at least one peripheral device, comprising:

a display screen; and

a display controller displaying a first illustration on said display screen in response to first user input, the illustration showing at least one connecting portion of the main device and the connecting portion of at least one peripheral device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the method wherein the at least one peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Do teaches connecting the main device with a peripheral device wherein peripheral device generate an audio signal and a video signal (col 5, lines 18-45). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Do's teaching with Fado et al.'s method in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a VCR and a TV.

As per claim 46, Fado et al. teaches the apparatus of claim 45, wherein the display controller adds a connection illustration to the displayed illustration in response to second user input, the connection illustration showing a connection between the connecting portion of the at least one peripheral device and the connecting portion of the main device (Fig 5, items 124, 126, 128, 130, and 132, Fig 21, items 262 and 264, Fig 23, item 284). The examiner is inferring to the fact a user can select a plurality of items from the menu and receive instructions regarding those item by pressing the next button.

As per claim 47, which is dependent on claim 46, it is of the same scope as claim 31. (see rejection above)

As per claim 48, which is dependent on claim 45, Fado et al. teaches the apparatus of claim 45, wherein the display controller displays a second illustration on the display screen in response to second user input, the second illustration showing the connecting portion of the main device, the connecting portion of the at least one peripheral device and a connection between the connecting portion of the at least one peripheral device and the connecting portion of the main device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

As per claim 49, which is dependent on claim 46, it is of the same scope as claim 31. (see rejection above)

As per independent claim 50, Fado et al. teaches an apparatus for assisting a user to make a connection between a main device and at least one peripheral device, comprising:

a display screen; and

a display controller displaying an illustration on said display screen in response to user input, the illustration visually demonstrating a connection to make between a connection portion

of the at least one peripheral device and at least one connecting portion of the main device (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the method wherein the at least one peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Do teaches connecting the main device with a peripheral device wherein the peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal (col 5, lines 18-45). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Do's teaching with Fado et al.'s method in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a VCR and a TV.

As per independent claim 51, Fado et al. teaches an apparatus for assisting a user to make a connection between a main device and at least one peripheral device, comprising:

a display screen; and

a display controller displaying an illustration directing a user on a connection to make between a connecting portion of at least one peripheral device and at least one connecting portion of the main device on said display screen in response to a user input (Fig 21, items 262) and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the method wherein the at least one peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Do teaches connecting the main device with a peripheral device wherein the peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal (col 5, lines 18-45). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Do's teaching with Fado et al.'s method in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a VCR and a TV.

As per independent claim 52, Fado et al. teaches an apparatus for assisting a user to make a connection between a main device and at least one peripheral device, comprising:

a display screen; and

a display controller displaying an illustration guiding a user on a connection to make between a connecting portion of the at least one peripheral device and at least one connecting portion of the main device on said display screen in response to user input (Fig 21, items 262 and 264).

However, Fado et al. fails to teach the method wherein the at least one peripheral device generates an audio signal and a video signal.

Do teaches connecting the main device with a peripheral device wherein peripheral device generate an audio signal and a video signal (col 5, lines 18-45). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Do's teaching with Fado et al.'s method in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a VCR and a TV.

Claims 2 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fado et al. (US 6,342,903) in view of Do (US 6,417,868) further in view of Yoshino et al. (US 6,131,111).

As per claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 1. However they fail to teach the method wherein the main device is one of a television receiver and a personal computer. Yoshino teaches a television receiver connecting to a personal computer (Fig 1, items 103, 105 and 108). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Yoshino's teaching with the method of Fado et al. and Do in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a television receiver and a PC.

As per claim 29, which is dependent on claim 28, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the apparatus of claim 28. However they fail to teach the method wherein the main device is one of a television receiver and a personal computer. Yoshino teaches a television receiver connecting to a personal computer (Fig 1, items 103, 105 and 108). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Yoshino's teaching with the method of Fado and Do in order to provide the user with an interactive guide for connecting a television receiver and a PC.

Claims 16, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fado et al. (US 5,995,933) in view of Do (US 6,417,868) further in view of Choi (US 5,828,834).

As per claim 16, which is dependent on claim 14, Fado et al. in view of Do teach the method of claim 14. However, Fado et al and Do fail to teach the method, wherein the receiving step receives a signal from an input device indicating that a key of the input device associated with one of the menu items has been operated by the user. Choi teaches a method which required the user to enter an ID from the input device to access the device (col 5, lines 37-68). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Choi's teaching with the method of Fado et al. and Do in order to prevent unauthorized user from using the device.

As per claim 42, which is dependent on claim 40, it is of the same scope as claim 16. (see rejection above).

Response to Amendment

Applicant's argument with respect to claims 1-52 have been consider but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 2174

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peng Ke whose telephone number is (703) 305-7615. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KRISTINE L KINCAID can be reached on (703) 308-0640. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Peng Ke June 17, 2003

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100